



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. Box 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

Paper No. 14

Schlumberger  
Intellectual Property Law Department  
Schlumberger-Doll Research  
Old Quarry Road  
Ridgefield, CT 06877-4108

In re Application of

Boyle, et al.

Application No. 09/881,333

Filed: June 14, 2001

Attorney Docket No. 60.1336

**COPY MAILED**

FEB 25 2004

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

: DECISION ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed November 10, 2003, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The above-cited application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action mailed September 16, 2002, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of one (1) month from its mailing date. Extensions of the time set for reply were available pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a). No response was received within the allowable period. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on October 17, 2002. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on May 2, 2003.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

There is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application. If the person signing the instant petition desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. While a courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the person signing the instant petition, all future correspondence will be directed to the address of currently of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary.

The response to the restriction requirement filed November 10, 2003, is noted and made of record.

The application is being forwarded to Technology Center 3600 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (703) 305-0010.



Kenya A. McLaughlin  
Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions

cc:

John L. Lee  
Lee Patent Service  
48 Summer Street  
Stoneham, MA 02180